

Modified Factorization Method and Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics

B. Bagchi,¹ K. Samanta,¹ A. Lahiri,² and P. K. Roy³

Received May 7, 1992

We suggest a modified factorization scheme within a supersymmetric framework which affords a consistent treatment of a wide class of Schrödinger potentials. A consequence of this is the possibility of deriving a boson-fermion Hamiltonian with linear interaction.

There has been growing interest in the search for supersymmetry in quantum mechanical problems in the past few years [see Lahiri *et al.* (1990) for a recent survey on the subject]. In most cases, supersymmetry has been formulated for a class of potentials which are shape-invariant (Gendenshtein, 1983) or constructed (Levai, 1989; Chuan, 1990) to be so. As is well known, the essence of supersymmetry rests in the possibility of factorizing (Stahlhofen and Bleuler, 1989) the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and thereby inducing a governing (Cervaro, 1991) superpotential. In effect this means solving a nonlinear equation which belongs to the Riccati class.

In the present study we introduce a modified (Leach, 1985) version of the so-called factorization scheme in the framework of supersymmetric quantum mechanics. As shall be seen, we are able to account for a whole sequence of potentials ranging from a polynomial to other varieties, including the singular ones (Casahorran and Nam, 1991). An interesting consequence of our scenario is the possibility of touching upon supersymmetric Hamiltonians possessing (Stedman, 1985; Jarvis and Stedman, 1984) boson-fermion interaction terms.

¹Department of Applied Mathematics, Vidyasagar University, Midnapore 721102, West Bengal, India.

²Department of Physics, Surendranath College, Calcutta 700009, West Bengal, India.

³Department of Physics, Haldia Government College, Haldia 721657, West Bengal, India.

To embark upon our scheme, we consider the time-independent Schrödinger equation in one dimension (in units $\hbar = 2m = 1$):

$$L\psi = \left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + (V - E_0) \right] \psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

under the influence of a potential $V = V(x)$ for $E = E_0$. Left multiplying the operator equation (1) by some function $f(x)$, we observe that we can always express

$$fL = \left(-\frac{d}{dx} + \alpha \right) \left(f \frac{d}{dx} + \beta \right) \quad (2)$$

provided we restrict

$$\beta = \alpha f - f' \quad (3a)$$

$$V - E_0 = (\alpha - f'/f)^2 - (\alpha - f'/f)' \quad (3b)$$

This puts us in a position to establish contact with the supersymmetric theory. We note that the superpotential $W(x)$ also satisfies a relation similar to (3b):

$$V - E_0 = W^2 - W' \quad (4)$$

This suggests an identification

$$W = \alpha - f'/f \quad (5)$$

which must hold good for any arbitrary f .

Now replacing α by the superpotential itself, we deduce a modified superpotential,

$$W_{\text{mod}} = W - f'/f \quad (6)$$

As a result the $N=2$ supersymmetric charges assume the form

$$Q = (d/dx + W - f'/f)c^+ \quad (7a)$$

$$Q^\dagger = (-d/dx + W - f'/f)c \quad (7b)$$

where c and c^+ are the fermionic annihilation and creation operators, respectively. The charges Q and Q^\dagger as usual satisfy the $N=2$ supersymmetric algebra:

$$Q^2 = Q^{+\dagger 2} = 0 \quad (8a)$$

$$H_s = \{Q, Q^\dagger\}, \quad [H_s, Q] = [H_s, Q^\dagger] = 0 \quad (8b)$$

For W_{mod} we can express the ground-state wavefunction ϕ_0 in terms of the ψ_0 corresponding to W :

$$\phi_0 = \exp \left[- \int W_{\text{mod}} dy \right] = f \psi_0 \quad (9)$$

The above formalism can be applied to a wide class of potentials. For example, if we choose $f = \text{const}$ along with $W = x$, we see immediately that equation (6) reduces to the case of the harmonic oscillator.

Consider the less trivial possibility $f'/f = \lambda x^2$ [which implies $f = \exp(\lambda x^3/3)$, where λ is a parameter] for the same choice of W , namely $W = x$. We read off from (7)

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= [b - \lambda (b + b^+)^2] c^+ \\ Q^\dagger &= [b^+ - \lambda (b + b^+)^2] c \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where b and b^+ are the bosonic annihilation and creation operators, that is, $[b, b^+] = 1$.

The set (10) generates the supersymmetric Hamiltonian

$$H_s(\text{int}) = c^+ c + b^+ b - 4\lambda c^+ c a + 2\lambda a - \lambda a^3 + \lambda^2 a^4 \quad (11)$$

where $a = b + b^+$ and $B = b - \lambda a^2$. In terms of B , $H_s(\text{int}) = c^+ c [B, B^+] + B^+ B$. But B is not a bosonic operator: $[B, B^+] = 1 - 4\lambda a \neq 1$.

It is noteworthy that $H_s(\text{int})$ has picked up a nontrivial interaction between a boson and a fermion variable and remarkably coincides with the form previously proposed by Jarvis and Stedman (1984). Treating λ as a coupling parameter, it is transparent from (11) that cubic anharmonicity is present at the same order (λ) as the fermion-boson coupling. As emphasized by Stedman (1985), this is essential, since at zeroth order in λ these terms mix under $Q = (b - \lambda a^2) c^+$. One can show in perturbation theory that to second order in λ the ground state is unshifted. Jarvis and Stedman (1984) consider applications to supersymmetric Jahn-Teller systems. More recently, thermodynamic implications of $H_s(\text{int})$ have been studied (Steeb *et al.*, 1989).

Using the representations of c and c^+ , one can express equation (11) as a 2×2 diagonal matrix with entries

$$H_{\pm}^s(\text{int}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x^2 \right) \mp \frac{1}{2} - 2\sqrt{2} \lambda (x^3 \mp x) + 4\lambda^2 x^4$$

We notice that in the partner Hamiltonians, cubic as well as quartic anharmonic terms are present to $O(\lambda)$ and $O(\lambda^2)$, respectively. The Schrödinger potential corresponding to this system is of the polynomial form $V(x) = ax + bx^2 + cx^3 + dx^4$, where a , b , c , and d are constants. Extensive literature

exists on the study of such a potential, see Kaushal (1991) for a nice treatment. In this way other variants of $H_s(\text{int})$ may be proposed by suitably modifying the functions f and W .

We now turn to some singular superpotentials. Assuming that singularities exist at the points $x = \pm c$, we choose $f(x) = x^2 - c$ along with $W(x) = x^3$. We find

$$W_{\text{mod}} = x^3 - \frac{2x}{x^2 - c} \quad (12)$$

yielding

$$V_+ = x^6 - 7x^2 - \frac{4c^2 - 2}{x^2 - c} - 4c^2 \quad (13a)$$

$$V_- = x^6 - x^2 - \frac{4c^2 + 2}{x^2 - c} + \frac{8x^2}{(x^2 - c)^2} - 4c^2 \quad (13b)$$

The Schrödinger potential is $V(x) = x^6 - 7x^2 - (4c^2 - 2)/(x^2 - c)$ and $E_0 = 4c$. Roy and Varshni (1991) have recently studied the pair (V_+, V_-) in the context of exploring solvability in quasixact systems as defined by Turbiner and Ushveridze (1987). Roy and Varshni (1991) found the two exact solutions for $V(x)$ to be

$$E_0 = -2\sqrt{2}, \quad \psi_0 \sim (x^2 + 1/\sqrt{2}) \exp(-x^4/4) \quad (14a)$$

$$E_2 = 2\sqrt{2}, \quad \psi_2 \sim (x^2 - 1/\sqrt{2}) \exp(-x^4/4) \quad (14b)$$

where (0) and (2) denote the order of the levels.

A particular case of W_{mod} above occurs when $c = 0$. This was considered by Cooper and Freedman (1983) and gives $V_+ = x^6 - 5x^2$, $V_- = x^6 + x^2 + 2/x^2$. Here also the Schrödinger potential $V(x) = x^6 - 5x^2$ belongs to the family (Turbiner and Ushveridze, 1987) of quasiexactly solvable potentials $V(x) = x^6 - (8_j + 3)x^2$ for $j = \frac{1}{4}$. Note that V_- is singular when $x = 0$.

Finally, we remark on the nonpolynomial potential (Filho and Ricotta, 1989)

$$V = x^2 + \frac{\lambda x^2}{1 + gx^2}, \quad \lambda = \lambda(g) \quad (15)$$

which has some applicability in quantum optics. This V can be accommodated in our modified factorization scheme by setting

$$W = x - \frac{2gx}{1 + gx^2} \quad (16)$$

and choosing

$$f(x) = \mu(x^2 - \lambda_0)(x^2 - \lambda_1) \cdots (x^2 - \lambda_n) \quad (17)$$

From (16) and (17) we find

$$W_{\text{mod}} = x - \frac{2gx}{1 + gx^2} + 2x \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{x^2 - \alpha_i} \quad (18)$$

See Roy *et al.* (1991) for a detailed analysis of the potential V in (15).

To conclude, we have proposed a modified factorization scheme within a supersymmetric framework which encompasses a wide variety of potentials. We have explored some forms, but we believe that our model can be successfully employed to deal with more complicated (Beckers and Debergh, 1991; deLaney and Nieto, 1990) types of potentials. We shall take this up in more detail elsewhere. A word about the function f introduced in our treatment: Since, according to equation (9), f is directly related to the ground-state wave function, the presence of a singularity in it will inevitably lead to problems with the normalizability and square integrability of ϕ_0 . This is overcome if f is taken to be, say, a polynomial or an exponential function, as has been done in the cases we have considered.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of us (B.B.) thanks Prof. N. D. Sengupta for discussions and clarification of a number of points. This work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi. K.S. thanks the DST for the award of a fellowship.

REFERENCES

- Beckers, J., and Debergh, N. (1991). *Zeitschrift für Physik C*, **51**, 519.
 Casahorran, J., and Nam, S. (1991). *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **6**, 2729.
 Cervaro, J. M. (1991). *Physics Letters*, **153A**, 1.
 Chuan, C. X. (1990). *Journal of Physics A*, **23**, L659.
 Cooper, F., and Freedman, B. (1983). *Annals of Physics*, **146**, 262.
 DeLaney, D., and Nieto, M. M. (1990). *Physics Letters*, **247B**, 301.
 Filho, E. D., and Ricotta, R. M. (1989). *Modern Physics Letters A*, **4**, 2283.
 Gendenshtein, L. E. (1983). *JETP Letters*, **38**, 356.
 Jarvis, P. D., and Stedman, G. E. (1984). *Journal of Physics A*, **17**, 757.
 Kaushal, R. S. (1991). *Modern Physics Letters A*, **6**, 383.
 Lahiri, A., Roy, P. K., and Bagchi, B. (1990). *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **5**, 1383.
 Leach, P. G. (1985). *Physica*, **17D**, 331.
 Levai, G. (1989). *Journal of Physics A*, **22**, 689.
 Roy, P., and Varshni, Y. P. (1991). *Modern Physics Letters A*, **6**, 1257.

- Roy, B., Roy, P., and Roychoudhury, R. (1991). *Fortschritte der Physik*, **39**, 211.
- Stahlhofen, A., and Bleuler, K. (1989). *Nuovo Cimento*, **104B**, 447.
- Stedman, G. E. (1985). *European Journal of Physics*, **6**, 225.
- Steeb, W., *et al.* (1989). *Helvetica Physica Acta*, **61**, 979.
- Turbiner, A. V., and Ushveridze, A. G. (1987). *Physics Letters A*, **126**, 181.